Closed orbits in quotient systems

Steffi Zegowitz

Supervised by Prof. Shaun Stevens and Prof. Tom Ward

17 February 2015

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで



In general, for n a natural number, we denote by

$$F_n(T) = \#\{x \in X : T^n(x) = x\}$$

the number of points of period n under T, and by

$$O_n(T) = \#\{\mathfrak{D}_T(x) : |\mathfrak{D}_T(x)| = n\} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) F_d(T),$$

we denote the number of closed orbits of length n under T.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲匡▶ ▲匡▶ ― 臣 … のへで

Let $X = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, and let $T : X \to X$ be the circle doubling map. Suppose C_2 is the finite cyclic group of two elements $\{1, g\}$ acting on X, where we define the action of C_2 by g(x) = 1 - x.



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Let $X = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, and let $T : X \to X$ be the circle doubling map. Suppose C_2 is the finite cyclic group of two elements $\{1, g\}$ acting on X, where we define the action of C_2 by g(x) = 1 - x.



Let $X = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, and let $T : X \to X$ be the circle doubling map. Suppose C_2 is the finite cyclic group of two elements $\{1, g\}$ acting on X, where we define the action of C_2 by g(x) = 1 - x.



We let $\pi(x) = [x]$, for all $x \in X$, where $[x] = \{x, 1 - x\}$ is the equivalence class of x under the action of C_2 .

The induced map $T': X' \to X'$ defined on the quotient space $X' = [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ is given by the tent map:

$$T'(x) = \begin{cases} 2x, & \text{if } 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{4}; \\ 1 - 2x, & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \le x \le \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$



We have the following number of periodic points for the circle doubling map T and the tent map T', respectively:

$$F_n(T) = 2^n - 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad F_n(T') = 2^n,$$

for all $n \ge 1$. Then

$$F_n(T) \sim F_n(T')$$
 as $n \to \infty$,

showing the same asymptotic growth rate for periodic points (and therefore orbits) of the circle doubling map T and the tent map T'.

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and $T : X \to X$ be continuous. Let G be a finite group acting on X where the action commutes with T.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

$$X \xrightarrow{T} X$$

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and $T : X \to X$ be continuous. Let G be a finite group acting on X where the action commutes with T.



We define

$$X' = G \setminus X = \{\mathfrak{O}_G(x) : x \in X\}$$

to be the set of all orbits under the action of G, and we note that (X', d') is a compact metric space.

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and $T : X \to X$ be continuous. Let G be a finite group acting on X where the action commutes with T.



We define

$$X' = G \setminus X = \{\mathfrak{O}_G(x) : x \in X\}$$

to be the set of all orbits under the action of G, and we note that (X', d') is a compact metric space. Further, we have that the induced map $T': X' \to X'$ on the quotient space is defined by

$$T'(\mathfrak{O}_G(x)) = \mathfrak{O}_G(T(x)).$$

Then $\pi(T(x)) = T'(\pi(x))$, and we have that π is a topological semi-conjugacy.

Note that for two topologically semi-conjugate maps T and T' there is, in general, no relationship between the count of periodic points (and closed orbits) of T and T'.



Figure 1: Creating closed orbits from non-closed orbits



Figure 2: Squashing closed orbits to a single closed orbit of length 1 (a fixed point)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <









<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

Lemma 1

Let $T: X \to X$ be a map defined on a set X. Let G be a finite group acting on X where the action of G commutes with T and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the orbit $\mathfrak{D}_T(x)$ of $x \in X$ shortens in length by a factor of $\frac{1}{k}$ if and only if $|\mathfrak{D}_T(x) \cap \mathfrak{D}_G(x)| = k$. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{D}_T(x)$ shortens in length by a factor of $\frac{1}{k}$, then there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $\mathfrak{D}_T(x) \cap \mathfrak{D}_G(x) = \{g^i(x) : i \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = \mathfrak{D}_{\langle g \rangle}(x)$.

Lemma 2

Let $T : X \to X$ be a map defined on a set X. Let G be a finite group acting on X where the action of G commutes with T, and let $x \in X$. Then the number of orbits that glue to $\mathfrak{D}_T(x)$ (including itself) is given by

 $\frac{|\mathfrak{O}_G(x)|}{|\mathfrak{O}_T(x)\cap\mathfrak{O}_G(x)|}\,.$

Glueing, Shortening, and Surviving Orbits

We define

$$G_x = \{g \in G : g(x) = x\}$$

to be the stabilizer of x, and

$$N_G(H) = \{g \in G : gHg^{-1} = H\}$$

to be the **normalizer** of a subgroup $H \leq G$. Then for $H \in P(G)$, we write

$$[H] = \{gHg^{-1} : g \in G\}$$

for its conjugacy class in $\overline{P}(G)$. We define

$$X_H = \{x \in X : G_x = H\};$$

 $X_{[H]} = \{x \in X : G_x \in [H]\}.$

Now, let \mathbb{X} be the **periodic set**, that is \mathbb{X} is the subset of all periodic points of X under T. Then T preserves each set $\mathbb{X}_{[H]} = X_{[H]} \cap \mathbb{X}$ and T' preserves each set $\mathbb{X}'_{[H]} = G \setminus \mathbb{X}_{[H]}$.

$$\mathbb{X} = \bigsqcup_{[H] \in \overline{P}(G)} \mathbb{X}_{[H]} \qquad \qquad \mathbb{X}' = \bigsqcup_{[H] \in \overline{P}(G)} \mathbb{X}'_{[H]}.$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

For any group H, set

$$\Delta(H) = \{ |\langle h \rangle | : h \in H \}$$

to be the set of all orders of elements of H.

Lemma 3

Given the orbit $\mathfrak{O}_{T}(x)$ of $x \in X_{[H]}$, there exists $k \in \Delta(N_{G}(H)/H)$ such that (i) $\mathfrak{O}_{T}(x)$ shortens in length by a factor of $\frac{1}{k}$, and (ii) $\mathfrak{O}_{T}(x)$ glues to $\frac{[G:H]}{k}$ orbits (including itself).

Glueing, Shortening, and Surviving Orbits

Now, let $[H] \in \overline{P}(G)$, and define

$$\Sigma_{[H]} = \left\{ (\delta, \theta) : \delta \in \Delta(N_G(H)/H), \theta = \frac{[G:H]}{\delta} \right\},\$$

Fix $I = \{1\}$ to be the trivial subgroup of G, so that

$$\Sigma_{[I]} = \left\{ (\delta, \theta) : \delta \in \Delta(G), \theta = \frac{|G|}{\delta} \right\}$$

For $\sigma = (\delta_{\sigma}, \theta_{\sigma}) \in \Sigma_{[H]}$, write $O_n^{[H]_{\sigma}}(T)$ for the number of orbits of length *n* in $\mathbb{X}_{[H]}$ such that $|\mathfrak{O}_T(x) \cap \mathfrak{O}_G(x)| = \delta_{\sigma}$ and $\frac{[G:H]}{\delta_{\sigma}} = \theta_{\sigma}$. Then

$$O_n(T) = \sum_{[H]\in\overline{P}(G)} \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_{[H]}} O_n^{[H]\sigma}(T),$$
$$O_n(T') = \sum_{[H]\in\overline{P}(G)} \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_{[H]}} \left(\frac{1}{\theta_{\sigma}}\right) O_{\delta_{\sigma}n}^{[H]\sigma}(T),$$

for all $n \ge 1$. Here, we understand that we have the following two constraints:

$$(\mathscr{C}_1) \ \theta_{\sigma} \mid O_n^{[H]_{\sigma}}(T), \qquad \qquad (\mathscr{C}_2) \ O_n^{[H]_{\sigma}}(T) = 0 \text{ if } \delta_{\sigma} \nmid n.$$

$$\begin{split} & [C_2] = [\{1,g\}] = \{\{1,g\}\}; \qquad X_{[C_2]} = \left\{0,\frac{1}{2}\right\}; \qquad X'_{[C_2]} = \left\{0,\frac{1}{2}\right\}; \\ & [I] = [\{1\}] = \{\{1\}\}; \qquad X_{[I]} = \left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right) \cup \left(\frac{1}{2},1\right); \qquad X'_{[I]} = \left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right). \end{split}$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

 $X_{[C_2]}$ We have $N_{C_2}(C_2) = C_2$. Then $|\mathfrak{O}_{C_2}(x) \cap \mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{T}}(x)| = 1$ and $[C_2 : C_2] = 1$. It follows that

$$O_n^{[C_2]}(T') = O_n^{[C_2]_{(1,1)}}(T),$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

 $X_{[I]}$ We have $N_{C_2}(I) = C_2$. Then $|\mathfrak{O}_{C_2}(x) \cap \mathfrak{O}_T(x)| = k \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\frac{[C_2:I]}{k} = \frac{2}{k}$. It follows that

$$O_n^{[I]}(T') = \frac{1}{2} O_n^{[I]_{(1,2)}}(T) + O_{2n}^{[I]_{(2,1)}}(T)$$

for all $n \ge 1$.

Then the general relationship between the orbits of the circle doubling map T and the tent map T' is given by

$$O_n(T') = O_n^{[C_2]}(T') + O_n^{[I]}(T'),$$

for all $n \ge 1$.

We have the following bounds for periodic points and orbits in quotient systems:

Lemma 4

Let (X', T') be the quotient system of (X, T) under the action of a finite group G. Then, for any $n \ge 1$, we have $(\mathscr{B}_1) \ \frac{F_n(T)}{|G|} \le F_n(T') \le F_n(T) + \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma_{[I]} \\ \delta_{\sigma} > 1}} (\frac{1}{\delta_{\sigma} \theta_{\sigma}}) F_{\delta_{\sigma} n}(T);$ $(\mathscr{B}_2) \ O_n(T') \le O_n(T) + \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma_{[I]} \\ \delta_{\sigma} > 1}} (\frac{1}{\theta_{\sigma}}) O_{\delta_{\sigma} n}(T), \text{ and if } n \text{ is such that } \delta_{\sigma} \nmid n, \text{ for}$ any $\sigma \in \Sigma_{[I]}$ such that $\delta_{\sigma} > 1$, then $O_n(T') \ge \frac{O_n(T)}{|G|}.$

Glueing, Shortening, and Surviving Orbits

Now, let

$$\nabla = \max\{\delta : \delta \in \Delta(G)\}$$

be the largest order of any element in G. Denote by H_{∇} a maximal subgroup of G such that $N_G(H_{\nabla})/H_{\nabla}$ contains an element of order ∇ . Then

$$\Theta = \frac{[G:H_{\nabla}]}{\nabla}$$

denotes the minimum value for θ_{σ} such that $\sigma = (\nabla, \theta_{\sigma})$.

Lemma 4 gives an immediate result concerning the logarithmic growth rate in $F_n(T')$:

Corollary 5

Let (X', T') be the quotient system of (X, T) under the action of a finite group G, and suppose there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log F_n(T) = \eta$. Then

$$\eta \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log F_n(T') \leq \nabla \eta.$$

Later, in Corollary 9 we will show that any growth rate in between the bounds of Corollary 5 can be achieved.

The Super Basic Lemma

We now observe that if we are free to choose a pair of topological dynamical systems, then (\mathscr{C}_1) and (\mathscr{C}_2) are the only constraints on the orbit behaviour under a finite group action.

Proposition 6

Let G be a finite group, and let $(b_n^{[H]_{\sigma}})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of non-negative integers, for $[H] \in \overline{P}(G)$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_{[H]}$, such that $b_1^{[G]_{(1,1)}} \ge 1$. Define $(a_n^{[H]_{\sigma}})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ by $a_n^{[H]_{\sigma}} = \begin{cases} \theta_{\sigma} \ b_{n/\delta_{\sigma}}^{[H]_{\sigma}}, & \text{if } \delta_{\sigma} \mid n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Further, define

$$a_n = \sum_{[H]\in\overline{P}(G)} \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_{[H]}} a_n^{[H]\sigma} \qquad b_n = \sum_{[H]\in\overline{P}(G)} \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_{[H]}} b_n^{[H]\sigma}$$

for all $n \ge 1$. Then there exist a topological dynamical system (X, T) and an action of G on X which commutes with T such that

$$O_n(T) = a_n$$
 and $O_n(T') = b_n$, for all $n \ge 1$.

The following theorem shows that it is possible to impose conditions directly on the sequences (a_n) and (b_n) to guarantee that the combinatorial constraints (\mathscr{C}_1) and (\mathscr{C}_2) are satisfied:

Theorem 7

Let G be a finite group and let (a_n) be a sequence of non-negative integers with $a_1 \ge 1$ such that there exists N > 0 with $\frac{a_{\nabla n}}{\Theta} \ge a_n$ for $n \ge N$. Further, let (b_n) be any sequence of non-negative integers such that $b_1 > \frac{a_1}{|G|}$ and

$$\begin{cases} \frac{a_n}{|G|} \le b_n \le a_n, & \text{ for } n < N, \\ a_n \le b_n \le \frac{a_{\nabla n}}{\Theta}, & \text{ for } n \ge N. \end{cases}$$

Then there exist a topological dynamical system (X, T) and an action of G on X which commutes with T such that

$$O_n(T) = a_n$$
 and $O_n(T') = b_n$, for all $n \ge 1$.

Corollary 8

Let (X', T') be the quotient system of (X, T) under the action of a finite group G, and suppose there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log F_n(T) = \eta$. Then

$$\eta \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log F_n(T') \leq \nabla \eta.$$

Corollary 9

Let G be a finite group. Suppose $1 < \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$ are such that either

- (i) $\eta = \lambda$ and $c \ge \frac{1}{|G|}$, or (ii) $\lambda < \eta < \lambda^{\nabla}$, or
- (iii) $\lambda < \eta < \lambda$, or (iii) $\eta = \lambda^{\nabla}$ and $c \leq \frac{1}{\Theta}$.

Then there exist a topological dynamical system (X, T) and an action of G on X which commutes with $T : X \to X$, such that

 $O_n(T)\sim\lambda^n$ and $O_n(T')\sim c\eta^n$ as $n
ightarrow\infty.$

The dynamical zeta function for any map $T: X \to X$, such that $F_n(T) < \infty$ for all $n \ge 1$, is defined by

$$\zeta_T(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n} F_n(T)\right) \,.$$

- Rationality of the dynamical zeta function implies nice and well behaved growth rates for the sequence $F = (F_n(T))_{n=1}^{\infty}$
- Irrationality of the dynamical zeta function suggests more complex and irregular behaviour of *F*
- A natural boundary implies even stronger results concerning the irregularity and complex behaviour of *F* compared to a dynamical zeta function which is irrational

The Dynamical Zeta Function

The dynamical zeta function has a natural boundary if it does not have an analytic continuation beyond its radius of convergence, where we define the radius of convergence, denoted by R, by

$$R = \frac{1}{\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left(F_n(T) \right)^{1/n}}.$$

Then at |z| = R, we have a dense set of singularities or zeros.



Figure 3: (Approximate) plot of the modulus of $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{2^n}$ showing a natural boundary at the unit circumference

The Dynamical Zeta Function– Irrationality as a Factor of Rationality

Let (Y, S) be a topological dynamical system, and let $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Define $X = Y \times \{0, 1\}$ and $T : X \to X$ by

$$T(x,m) = (S(x),m+1 \pmod{2})$$

Further, define an action of G by

$$g(x,m) = (x, m+1 \pmod{2}).$$

Then (X', T') = (Y, S).

Now, take

$$F_n(T') = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 2^n, & \text{if } n \text{ is even}, \\ \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d \neq 1}} d \ 2^{(d-1)/2}, & \text{if } n > 1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\zeta_T(z)$ is given by

$$\zeta_T(z)=\frac{1}{1-4z^2},$$

which is rational.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

The logarithmic derivative of $\zeta_{T'}(z)$ is given by

$$z\left[\frac{\zeta'_{T'}(z)}{\zeta_{T'}(z)}\right] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n F_n(T') = \frac{4z^2}{1-4z^2} + \frac{6z^3 - 4z^5}{(1-2z^2)^2} + \alpha(z),$$

where

$$\alpha(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

for

$$a_{2k} = 0$$

 $a_{2k+1} = \sum_{\substack{d \mid 2k+1 \\ d \neq 1 \\ d \neq 2k+1}} d \ 2^{(d-1)/2}.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Then $\alpha(z)$ (and therefore $\zeta_{T'}(z)$) is irrational.

The Dynamical Zeta Function– A Natural Boundary as a Factor of Rationality

We let $G = C_2$ and

$$F_n = 2^n$$
 and $F'_n = 2^n + a_n 2^n$,

where we define

•
$$a_n = 0$$
 if $n = 1$ or $n = p$, for any prime p

• $a_n \equiv a_{n/p} \pmod{p^{\operatorname{order}_p(n)}}$ for any prime p such that $p \mid n$, where we choose a_n such that $n \leq a_n < 2n$.

Further, we set

$$b_n^{[H]_{\sigma}} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\text{order}_2(n)} \left(O'_{n/2^k} - O_{n/2^k} \right), & \text{if } [H]_{\sigma} = [I]_{(2,1)}, \\ O_n - b_n^{[I]_{(2,1)}}, & \text{if } [H]_{\sigma} = [G]_{(1,1)} \text{ and } n \text{ is even} \\ O_n, & \text{if } [H]_{\sigma} = [G]_{(1,1)} \text{ and } n \text{ is odd} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$a_n = a_n^{[G]_{(1,1)}} + a_n^{[I]_{(2,1)}} = O_n,$$

$$b_n = b_n^{[G]_{(1,1)}} + b_n^{[I]_{(2,1)}}$$

= O'_n .

The Dynamical Zeta Function– A Natural Boundary as a Factor of Rationality

By the Super Basic Lemma, there exists a topological dynamical system (X, T) and an action of C_2 on X which commutes with T such that

$$a_n = O_n(T)$$
 and $b_n = O_n(T')$.

Then $\zeta_T(z)$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{1-2z},$$

which is rational, while $\zeta_{T'}(z)$ is given by

$$\left(\frac{1}{1-2z}\right)\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{a_n(2z)^n}{n}\right).$$

Now, if we set $f(z) = a_n z^n$, then

$$f(2z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (2z)^n$$

and we find that f(z) has a natural boundary at z = 1, so that f(2z) (and therefore $\zeta_{T'}(z)$) has a natural boundary at $z = \frac{1}{2}$.

- Windsor showed that there exists a smooth model (X, T) such that $O_n(T) = a_n$, for all $n \ge 1$. Then given sequences (a_n) and (b_n) as in the Super Basic Lemma, is there a smooth model (X, T) and an action of a finite group G on X which commutes with T such that $O_n(T) = a_n$ and $O_n(T') = b_n$, for all $n \ge 1$?
- In the examples studied, both (X, T) and (X', T') exhibit the same asymptotic growth rates for orbits, and therefore, they are not representative for the general case of the Super Basic Lemma. We observe that the proof for the Basic Lemma uses a more abstract combinatorial construction of (X, T) very unlike the natural constructions of the examples studied. They occur in a natural setting: We have easy to define spaces and maps and the groups chosen are natural (non-trivial) choices for the given space and map. Do examples occurring in a natural setting, for example, classes of systems like group automorphisms, subshifts of finite type, expanding maps on an interval, always exhibit the same growth rates for orbits in the quotient system?



Richard Sharp.

Prime orbit theorems with multi-dimensional constraints for Axiom A flows.

Monatsh. Math., 114(3-4):261-304, 1992.



Shaun Stevens, Tom Ward, and Stefanie Zegowitz. Halving dynamical systems. Preprint, arXiv:1407.7787.



Wikipedia.

Isolated singularity- Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Isolated_singularity, 2014. Accessed July 23, 2014.



AJ Windsor.

Smoothness is not an obstruction to realizability. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 28(3):1037–1042, 2008.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



Stefanie Zegowitz.

Closed orbits in quotient systems. Preprint, arXiv:1502.02693.